

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/038,722	LEY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	William W. Moore	1652	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Election

 (1) William W. Moore, Examiner.

(3) _____.

 (2) Mr. Michael T. Seikman, Applicant's Counsel.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2/20/ & 3/16/2005
Time: 11:00AM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Original claims 1-31 and 33-36

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In the telephonic interview initiated by the examiner on 10 February 2005, Applicant's counsel requested rejoinder of methods of claims 33-36 should the elected species be found allowable and the examiner noted that several of the original claims may not read on the elected species. It was agreed that, in view of the Election received 18 January 2005, a Terminal Disclaimer over claims of US 5,663,143 and amendments to the specification correcting, at least, the continuing data in the first two paragraphs at page 1 of the of the specification would be necessary. In the telephonic interview of 16 March 2005, the revision of Table enumeration and SEQ ID NO numeration in a Preliminary Amendment was discussed.